arXiv:1405.5632v2 [math-ph] 24 Sep 2014

Spectral parameter power series method for discontinuous coefficients

Herminio Blancarte[1], Hugo M. Campos[1,2], Kira V. Khmelnytskaya[1]

[1]Faculty of Engineering, Autonomous University of Queretaro,

Centro Universitario, Cerro de las Campanas s/n, C.P. 76010, Santiago de Querétaro, Qro., México

[2]Department of Mathematics, FCFM, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla,

Av. San Claudio y 18 sur San Manuel CU, CP. 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico

email: herbs@uaq.mx, hugomcampos@hotmail.com, khmel@uaq.edu.mx*

September 26, 2014

Abstract

Let (a, b) be a finite interval and $1/p,\ q,\ r \in L^1[a, b]$. We show that a general solution (in the weak sense) of the equation $(pu')' + qu = \lambda ru$ on (a, b) can be constructed in terms of power series of the spectral parameter λ. The series converge uniformly on $[a, b]$ and the corresponding coefficients are constructed by means of a simple recursive procedure. We use this representation to solve different types of eigenvalue problems. Several numerical tests are discussed.

1 Introduction

The spectral parameter power series (SPPS) method proposed in [14] as an application of pseudoanalytic function theory and developed into a numerical technique in [15] rapidly became an important and efficient tool for solving a variety of problems involving Sturm-Liouville equations. In [2], [3], [8], [11], [16], [17] the SPPS method was used for solving spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville equations, in [7] the electromagnetic scattering problem was

*Research was supported by CONACYT, Mexico via the research projects 166141 and 176987. The second named author additionally acknowledges the support by FCT, Portugal.

studied, in [10] for fourth-order Sturm-Liouville equations and in [6] to study interesting mapping properties of transmutation operators.

In previous publications dedicated to the SPPS method the coefficients of the differential equations were assumed to be continuous functions. Only in [12] with a short explanation there were considered models involving discontinuous coefficients. However, obviously, many different applications require considering piecewise continuos or only integrable coefficients.

In the present paper we obtain the SPPS representation for solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation with coefficients from the space $L_1[a,b]$ (the precise conditions can be found in Section 3). The proof in this case required to find different ways to obtain estimates for the summands of the SPPS series as well as to prove the convergence of the series in the corresponding norms (especially for the series defining the derivatives of the solutions). Though the proofs resulted to be quite different and more elaborate as compared to the SPPS representations in the case of continuous coefficients, in general the SPPS approach did not suffer any serious modification and the main result of this work consists in the fact that the SPPS method is now available in the much more general situation of discontinuous coefficients.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce preliminary facts and definitions concerning weak solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation and absolutely continuous functions and we also establish some auxiliary results. In Section 3 we prove the main result of the paper, the SPPS representation for solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation with discontinuous coefficients (Theorem 7). Section 4 is dedicated to the numerical implementation of the SPPS method and numerical examples involving spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville equations with discontinuous (and, in general, complex) coefficients. Excellent performance of the method is illustrated.

2 Preliminaries

First let us introduce some classical function spaces that will be needed throughout the paper. Let $L^1[a,b]$ be the Lebesgue space of absolutely integrable functions on $[a,b]$. As usual the Sobolev space $W^{1,1}[a,b]$ is formed by functions $u \in L^1[a,b]$ for which there exists $g \in L^1[a,b]$ such that

$$\int_a^b u\varphi' dt = -\int_a^b g\varphi dt, \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(a,b),$$

where $C_c^\infty(a,b)$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions on (a,b) with compact support in (a,b). The function g is called distributional (or weak)

derivative of u and is denoted by u'.

Denote the set of complex-valued absolutely continuous functions on $[a,b]$ by $AC\,[a,b]$. We recall that a function V belongs to $AC\,[a,b]$ iff there exists $v \in L^1[a,b]$ and $x_0 \in [a,b]$ such that

$$V(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} v(t)dt + V(x_0), \quad \forall\, x \in [a,b] \tag{1}$$

(see, e.g., [4], [19], [13]). Thus, if $V \in AC[a,b]$ then $V \in C[a,b]$ and V is a.e. differentiable with $V'(x) = v(x)$ on $[a,b]$. Moreover, the usual derivative of a function from $AC[a,b]$ coincides a.e. with its distributional derivative [13], thus $AC[a,b] \subseteq W^{1,1}[a,b]$. In fact the linear spaces $W^{1,1}[a,b]$ and $AC[a,b]$ coincide (see [5], Theorem 8.2) in the following sense: $u \in W^{1,1}[a,b]$ iff there exists $V \in AC[a,b]$ such that $u = V$ a.e. on $[a,b]$.

Let us introduce the concept of weak solution corresponding to the equation

$$(pu')' + qu = 0. \tag{2}$$

First assume that $p \in C^1[a,b]$ and $q \in C[a,b]$. Let $u \in C^2[a,b]$ be a classical solution of (2). Multiplying (2) by $\varphi \in C_c^\infty[a,b]$ and integrating by parts we arrive at the equality

$$-\int_a^b pu'\varphi' + \int_a^b qu\varphi = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty[a,b] \tag{3}$$

where we have used that $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b) = 0$.

Definition 1 *Let p be a measurable function on $[a,b]$ and $q \in L^1[a,b]$. A function $u \in AC[a,b] = W^{1,1}[a,b]$ is called a weak solution of (2) if $pu' \in L^1[a,b]$ and u satisfies (3).*

Proposition 2 *Under the conditions of the above definition a function $u \in AC[a,b]$ is a weak solution of (2) iff $pu' \in AC[a,b]$ and (2) is satisfied a.e. on $[a,b]$.*

Proof. Let $u \in AC[a,b]$ be a weak solution of (2). Equality (3) means that the distributional derivative of $pu' \in L^1[a,b]$ is $-qu \in L^1[a,b]$. Thus $pu' \in AC[a,b]$ and (2) is satisfied a.e. on $[a,b]$.

The opposite statement follows from the fact that the usual derivative of a function from $AC[a,b]$ coincides with its distributional derivative. ■

The following result will be useful further.

Proposition 3 *Let $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of absolutely continuous functions on $[a,b]$. If the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(x_0)$ converges at some $x_0 \in [a,b]$ and the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n'$ converges to $v \in L^1[a,b]$ in the norm of $L^1[a,b]$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ converges uniformly to $V \in AC\,[a,b]$ and $V' = v$ a.e.*

This is in fact a well-known result when the functions V_n are continuously differentiable [1]. Also, it is not hard to see that Proposition 3 is equivalent to the fact that the space $AC[a,b]$ equipped with the norm given by $\|u\| = |u(x_0)| + \|u'\|_{L^1}$ is a Banach space. This result is mentioned and used in many sources (see, e.g., [18]), but since we know no reference where a detailed rigorous proof can be found, we provide one here.

Proof. Consider the absolutely continuous function

$$V(x) := \int_{x_0}^{x} v(t)dt + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(x_0). \tag{4}$$

Using the representation (1) for V_n we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| V(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} V_n(x) \right| &= \left| \int_{x_0}^{x} v(t)dt + V(x_0) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\int_{x_0}^{x} V_n'(t)dt + V_n(x_0) \right) \right| \leq \\
&\leqslant \left| V(x_0) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} V_n(x_0) \right| + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x} v(t)dt - \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{x_0}^{x} V_n'(t)dt \right| = \\
&= \left| V(x_0) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} V_n(x_0) \right| + \left| \int_{a}^{b} \left(v(t) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} V_n'(t) \right) dt \right| \leq \\
&\leq \left| V(x_0) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} V_n(x_0) \right| + \int_{a}^{b} \left| v(t) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} V_n'(t) \right| dt
\end{aligned}$$

When $N \to \infty$, the last expression tends to zero since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_n(x_0) = V(x_0)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n'$ converges to v in the norm of $L^1[a,b]$. Thus, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(x)$ converges uniformly to $V(x)$ and from (4) it follows that $V'(x) = v(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_n'(x)$ a.e.. ■

3 SPPS representation for solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation

Consider the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$(pu')' + qu = \lambda ru \tag{5}$$

on some finite interval (a,b) where $1/p$, q, $r \in L^1[a,b]$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the spectral parameter. Following [20] we define the operator $L[u] := (pu')' + qu$ with domain of definition given by $D_L = \{u : u \in AC[a,b] \text{ and } pu' \in AC[a,b]\}$. By a solution of equation (5) we mean a function u which belongs to D_L and satisfies $L[u] = \lambda ru$ *a.e.* on (a,b). It follows from Proposition 2 that this definition of solution is equivalent to that one introduced in Definition 1.

Proposition 4 (Polya Factorization) *Let f be a nonvanishing solution of $L[f] = 0$. Then for $u \in D_L$ the following equality*

$$L[u] = \frac{1}{f}\frac{d}{dx}pf^2\frac{d}{dx}\frac{1}{f}u \tag{6}$$

holds a.e. on (a,b).

Let $f \in AC[a,b]$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in [a,b]$. Following [15] let us introduce two families of functions $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}(x)$ and $X^{(n)}(x)$ by the recursive equalities

$$\widetilde{X}^{(0)} \equiv 1, \qquad X^{(0)} \equiv 1,$$

$$\widetilde{X}^{(n)}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{x_0}^{x} \widetilde{X}^{(n-1)}(s) r(s) f^2(s) ds, & \text{for an odd } n \\ \int_{x_0}^{x} \widetilde{X}^{(n-1)}(s) \frac{ds}{p(s)f^2(s)}, & \text{for an even } n \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

$$X^{(n)}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{x_0}^{x} X^{(n-1)}(s) \frac{ds}{p(s)f^2(s)}, & \text{for an odd } n \\ \int_{x_0}^{x} X^{(n-1)}(s) r(s) f^2(s) ds, & \text{for an even } n\ . \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where x_0 is an arbitrary point in $[a,b]$. Below we show that the introduced families of functions are closely related to the Sturm-Liouville equation (5). For this the following proposition will be used.

Proposition 5 *Under the above conditions the functions $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}$ and $X^{(n)}$ belong to $AC[a,b]$ and the following estimates hold*

$$\left|X^{(2n)}(x)\right|, \left|\widetilde{X}^{(2n)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{(C_1C_2)^n}{n!n!}, \tag{9}$$

$$\left|X^{(2n-1)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C_1^n}{n!}\frac{C_2^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad \left|\widetilde{X}^{(2n-1)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C_1^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\frac{C_2^n}{n!}, \tag{10}$$

where $C_1 = \|1/(pf^2)\|_{L^1[a,b]}$, $C_2 = \|rf^2\|_{L^1[a,b]}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

Proof. The absolute continuity of the functions $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}(x)$ and $X^{(n)}(x)$ follows immediately from the summability of the integrands [13]. Let us prove the inequalities when $x \geq x_0$, for $x < x_0$ a similar reasoning can be applied. First let us obtain the following auxiliary inequalities

$$\left|X^{(2n)}(x)\right|, \left|\widetilde{X}^{(2n)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{P(x)^n R(x)^n}{n!n!}, \tag{11}$$

$$\left|X^{(2n-1)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{P(x)^n}{n!}\frac{R(x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad \left|\widetilde{X}^{(2n-1)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{P(x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\frac{R(x)^n}{n!}, \tag{12}$$

$x \geq x_0$, where

$$P(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{ds}{|p(s)f^2(s)|}, \qquad R(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} \left|r(s)f^2(s)\right| ds. \tag{13}$$

As P and R are monotonically increasing then $P(x) \leq C_1$ and $R(x) \leq C_2$ thus (9) and (10) follows from (11) and (12). The proof of (11) and (12) is by induction. First we consider $\widetilde{X}^{(2n)}$. For $n = 0$ the estimate (11) is trivial. Suppose that (11) is valid for $n = k - 1$,

$$\left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k-2)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{P(x)^{k-1}R(x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!\,(k-1)!}.$$

Then for $n = k$ we have

$$\left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k)}(x)\right| \leq \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)}(s)\right|}{|p(s)f^2(s)|} ds \leq \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{1}{|p(s)f^2(s)|} \int_{x_0}^{s} \left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k-2)}(t)\right| \left|r(t)f^2(t)\right| dtds \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{1}{|p(s)f^2(s)|} \int_{x_0}^{s} \frac{P(t)^{k-1}R(t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!\,(k-1)!} \left|r(t)f^2(t)\right| dtds &\leq \\ \frac{1}{(k-1)!\,(k-1)!} \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{P(s)^{k-1}}{|p(s)f^2(s)|} \int_{x_0}^{s} R(t)^{k-1} \left|r(t)f^2(t)\right| dtds &\leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(k-1)!k!} \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{P(s)^{k-1}}{|p(s)f^2(s)|} R(s)^k ds &\leq \\ \frac{R(x)^k}{(k-1)!k!} \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{P(s)^{k-1}}{|p(s)f^2(s)|} ds &= \frac{R(x)^k P(x)^k}{k!k!} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equalities $dR(t) = |r(t)f^2(t)|\,dt$ and $dP(t) = \frac{1}{|p(t)f^2(t)|}dt$ following from (13).

The proof for $\widetilde{X}^{(2n-1)}(x)$ is similar. For $n = 1$ the inequality (12) holds

$$\left|\widetilde{X}^{(1)}(x)\right| \le \int_{x_0}^{x} \left|r(s)f^2(s)\right| ds = R(x),$$

Let for $n = k-1$ (12) be true

$$\left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k-3)}(x)\right| \le \frac{P(x)^{k-2}}{(k-2)!}\frac{R(x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}.$$

Then for $n = k$ we have

$$\left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)}(x)\right| \le \int_{x_0}^{x} \left|r(s)f^2(s)\right| \int_{x_0}^{s} \frac{\left|\widetilde{X}^{(2k-3)}(t)\right|}{|p(t)f^2(t)|}dtds \le$$

$$\frac{1}{(k-2)!\,(k-1)!} \int_{x_0}^{x} \left|r(s)f^2(s)\right| \int_{x_0}^{s} \frac{P(t)^{k-2}R(t)^{k-1}}{|p(t)f^2(t)|}dtds \le$$

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)!\,(k-1)!} \int_{x_0}^{x} \left|r(s)f^2(s)\right| R(s)^{k-1}P(s)^{k-1}ds \le \frac{P(x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\frac{R(x)^{k}}{k!}$$

The estimates for $X^{(n)}$ can be shown similarly. ■

Remark 6 *When additionally $1/p$ and $r \in L^\infty[a,b]$, stronger estimates can be obtained for $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}$ and $X^{(n)}$ (as those obtained in [15] for continuous coefficients). For example,*

$$\left|X^{(2n)}(x)\right| \le \left\|rf^2\right\|^n_{L^\infty[a,b]} \left\|1/(pf^2)\right\|^n_{L^\infty[a,b]} \frac{(b-a)^{2n}}{(2n)!}.$$

The following theorem generalizes the result from [15] onto the case when $1/p$, q and r are integrable on $[a,b]$.

Theorem 7 *Let p, q and r be complex-valued functions of a real variable $x \in [a,b]$ such that $1/p$, q and $r \in L^1[a,b]$. Let f be a nonvanishing weak solution of the equation*

$$(pf')' + qf = 0 \tag{14}$$

on $[a,b]$. Then the general weak solution u of the equation (5) on $[a,b]$ has the form

$$u = c_1u_1 + c_2u_2,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary complex constants,

$$u_1 = f\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)} \quad \textit{and}\ u_2 = f\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ X^{(2k+1)} \tag{15}$$

and both series converge uniformly on $[a,b]$.

Proof. First let us prove that both series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)}$ converge uniformly to the functions v and $w \in AC[a,b]$ respectively, and that the series obtained by term-wise differentiation converge to the functions v' and w' respectively in the space $L^1[a,b]$. Due to Proposition 5 we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)}\right| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{C^k}{k!k!} < \infty,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)}\right| \le |\lambda|\,C_2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{C^{k-1}}{(k-1)!k!} < \infty,$$

where $C = |\lambda|\,C_1C_2$, and the constants C_1, C_2 are defined in Proposition 5. Thus, the uniform convergence of the series defining the functions v and w follows from the Weierstrass M-test. In order to prove that the series obtained from $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)}$ by term-wise differentiation converges in the space $L^1[a,b]$ to $v' = \dfrac{w}{pf^2}$ we consider the L^1-norm of the difference

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{N}\lambda^k\left(\widetilde{X}^{(2k)}\right)' - \frac{w}{pf^2}\right\|_{L^1} &= \int_a^b\left|\sum_{k=1}^{N}\lambda^k\ \frac{\widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)}}{pf^2} - \frac{w}{pf^2}\right|dx\\ &\le \sup_{[a,b]}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{N}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)} - w\right| C_1 \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $N\to\infty$. From Proposition 3 we conclude that $v \in AC[a,b]$, the series defining v can be term-wise differentiated and $v' = \frac{w}{pf^2}$ a.e. The corresponding result for the function w is proved similarly.

Next we prove that u_1 is a solution of (5). The functions u_1 and

$$pu_1' = pf'\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)} + \frac{1}{f}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)}$$

are absolutely continuous on $[a,b]$. Moreover, as f is a nonvanishing solution of (14) the operator L admits the Polya factorization (6) and hence we have

$$L[u_1] = \frac{1}{f}\frac{d}{dx}pf^2\frac{d}{dx}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)} = \frac{1}{f}\frac{d}{dx}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k-1)} = rf\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda^k\ \widetilde{X}^{(2k-2)} = \lambda r u_1.$$

In a similar way we can check that u_2 satisfies (5) as well.

The last step is to verify that the generalized Wronskian of u_1 and u_2

$$pW(u_1, u_2) = p(x)(u_1(x)u_2'(x) - u_2(x)u_1'(x))$$

is different from zero at some point (this is equivalent to show that the solutions u_1 and u_2 are linearly independent [20]). As $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}(x_0) = X^{(n)}(x_0) = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$ it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x_0) &= f(x_0), \quad & p(x)u_1'(x)|_{x=x_0} &= p(x)f'(x)|_{x=x_0} \\ u_2(x_0) &= 0, & p(x)u_2'(x)|_{x=x_0} &= \tfrac{1}{f(x_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $pW(u_1, u_2) = 1$. ■

Remark 8 *The SPPS representation for solutions (15) established in Theorem 7 is based on a particular solution f corresponding to $\lambda = 0$. Following* [15] *one can observe that this solution f can be found as*

$$f = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants, u_1 and u_2 are defined by (15) with $f \equiv \lambda = 1$ and by using $-q$ in place of r in the definition (7) and (8) of $\widetilde{X}^{(k)}$ and $X^{(k)}$. In the regular case the choice $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = i$ provides a nonvanishing solution f.

The procedure for construction of solutions given by Theorem 7 is still valid when a solution of the equation $(pf^{*\prime})' + qf^* = \lambda^* r f^*$ is available for some $\lambda = \lambda^*$. In this case the solutions (15) take the form

$$u_1 = f^* \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda^*)^k \ \widetilde{X}^{(2k)} \quad \text{and } u_2 = f^* \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda^*)^k \ X^{(2k+1)}, \qquad (16)$$

where $\widetilde{X}^{(k)}$ and $X^{(k)}$ are given by (7), (8) with $f = f^*$. Indeed, equation (5) can be written as

$$(pu')' + (q - \lambda^* r)\, u = (\lambda - \lambda^*)\, ru,$$

then the same arguments that were used to prove Proposition 5 and Theorem 7 can be applied. The procedure for constructing solutions of equation (5) based on a particular solution f^* corresponding to $\lambda = \lambda^*$ is known as the spectral shift and is of great practical importance especially in numerical applications [15], [10], [16].

4 Numerical implementation and examples

The SPPS method based on the representations established in Theorem 7 is especially convenient for solving spectral problems. It has been used previously in a number of works and proved to be efficient in various applications both with continuous [7], [10], [2], [3], [8], [6], [11], [16], [17] and some special cases of singular coefficients [9]. In this section we present several numerical examples illustrating the application of the method to the spectral problems with discontinuous coefficients. In practical terms the SPPS method can be formulated in the following steps

- obtain an analytic expression for the characteristic function of the problem which will be denoted by $\Phi(\lambda)$ in terms of the SPPS representations (15);
- calculate the first $2N+1$ formal powers $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}$ and $X^{(n)}$ necessary to approximate the characteristic function $\Phi(\lambda)$ by a partial sum $\Phi_N(\lambda)$;
- find roots of the equation $\Phi_N(\lambda) = 0$.

To perform the second step it is necessary to find a nonvanishing particular solution f of equation (14) wich can be obtained by the SPPS method (see Remark 8). The SPPS representation given by Theorem 7 is based on this particular solution f which corresponds to $\lambda = 0$ and the power series of $\Phi(\lambda)$ is centered in $\lambda = 0$. To improve the accuracy of the eigenvalues located farther from the center of the series we perform the spectral shift described in Remark 8. On every step after calculating an eigenvalue λ_n this value is chosen as a new λ^* and the corresponding particular solution f^* is computed according to (16). In the case when the boundary conditions are spectral parameter dependent, the characteristic function can again be written in a form containing power series in terms of $(\lambda - \lambda^*)$, we illustrate it in some examples below.

The range of applicability of the SPPS method includes complex coefficients and complex eigenvalues. Therefore it is important to note that step 3 can be complemented with a preliminary counting of zeros in a given domain of the complex plane of the variable λ. This can be done using a classical result from complex analysis - the principle of the argument. More on applications of the argument principle (as well as of Rouche's theorem) can be found in [9], [16].

All calculations were performed with the aid of Matlab 2009 in the double precision machine arithmetic. The formal powers $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}$ and $X^{(n)}$ were computed using the Newton-Cottes 6 point integration formula of 7th order,

modified to implement indefinite integration. The integration was performed separately on each subinterval where the coefficients of the considered equation were continuous, with subsequent joining together of separate integrals into a continuous function. To find zeros of the polynomial $\Phi_N(\lambda)$ the routine `roots` of MATLAB was used.

In all the considered examples we use the spectral shift technique (see Remark 8) for calculating every subsequent eigenvalue λ_n with $\lambda_n^* = \lambda_{n-1} + \Delta\lambda$, where $\Delta\lambda$ is a displacement and $\lambda_0^* = 0$.

In the presented numerical results we specify two parameters: N is the degree of the polynomial Φ_N, i.e. the number of calculated formal powers is $2N+1$, and M is the number of points chosen on the considered segment for the calculation of integrals. To obtain the exact eigenvalues we use the routine `FindRoot` of MATHEMATICA applied to the exact characteristic function $\Phi(\lambda)$.

Example 9 *Our first example is taken from [21] where the numerical results are obtained by means of the sinc method. Consider the equation*

$$-u'' + qu = \lambda u, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \tag{17}$$

where

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x \in [-1, 0], \\ -2, & x \in (0, 1] \end{cases}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda u(-1) + u'(-1) &= 0, \\ \lambda u(1) - u'(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and the transmission conditions

$$u(0_-) = u(0_+), \quad u'(0_-) = u'(0_+).$$

The subscripts "+" and "−" denote the limiting values of $u(x)$ as x approaches 0 from the right and left, respectively. A general solution of equation (17) ($\lambda \neq -2$) which satisfies the transmission conditions is

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} A\cos\sqrt{1+\lambda}x + B\frac{\sqrt{2+\lambda}}{\sqrt{1+\lambda}}\sin\sqrt{1+\lambda}x, & x \in [-1, 0], \\ A\cos\sqrt{2+\lambda}x + B\sin\sqrt{2+\lambda}x, & x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Substituting it to the boundary conditions (18) it is easy to arrive at the following characteristic equation

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\lambda}}\left\{\cos\sqrt{1+\lambda}\left[\left(\lambda^2 - \lambda - 2\right)\sin\sqrt{2+\lambda} - 2\lambda\sqrt{2+\lambda}\cos\sqrt{2+\lambda}\right] + \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda}}\sin\sqrt{1+\lambda}\left[\lambda\left(2\lambda+3\right)\sin\sqrt{2+\lambda} + \sqrt{2+\lambda}\left(\lambda^2 - \lambda - 1\right)\cos\sqrt{2+\lambda}\right]\right\} = 0$$

In terms of the SPPS solutions (15) the eigenfunctions of the spectral problem take the form

$$u(x,\lambda) = u_1(x,\lambda) + f(-1)(\lambda f(-1) + f'(-1))u_2(x,\lambda),$$

where $f(x) = \begin{cases} \cos x & x \in [-1,0] \\ \cos\sqrt{2}x & x \in (0,1] \end{cases}$ *and* λ *satisfies the SPPS characteristic equation*

$$\Phi(\lambda) = (\lambda f(-1)+f'(-1))\,(\lambda u_2(1,\lambda) - u_2'(1,\lambda))+\frac{1}{f(-1)}\,(\lambda u_1(1,\lambda) - u_1'(1,\lambda)) = 0.$$

We denote for short $f_{\pm 1} := f(\pm 1)$, $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}(1) := \widetilde{X}_1^{(n)}$, $X^{(n)}(1) := X_1^{(n)}$ *and taking into account that* $p(x) = -1$ *write down* $\Phi(\lambda)$ *in explicit form*

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k C_k, \text{ where}$$

$$C_k = f_{-1}\left(f_1 X_1^{(2k-3)} + \frac{X_1^{(2k-2)}}{f_1} - f_1' X_1^{(2k-1)}\right) + f_{-1}'\left(f_1 X_1^{(2k-1)} + \frac{X_1^{(2k)}}{f_1} - f_1' X_1^{(2k+1)}\right) +$$

$$\frac{1}{f_{-1}}\left(f_1 \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-2)} + \frac{\widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-1)}}{f_1} - f_1' \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k)}\right), \text{ note that } X_1^{(\alpha)},\ \widetilde{X}_1^{(\alpha)} \text{ equal cero for } \alpha < 0.$$

When the shift by λ^* *is performed the characteristic function can be written as power series in terms of* $(\lambda - \lambda^*)$, *we denote it by* $\Phi^*(\lambda - \lambda^*)$

$$\Phi^*(\lambda - \lambda^*) = \Phi(\lambda - \lambda^*) + \lambda^* \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda^*)^k B_k, \text{ where}$$

$$B_k = f_{-1}^*\left(2 f_1^* X_1^{(2k-1)} - f_1^{*\prime} X_1^{(2k+1)} + \frac{X_1^{(2k)}}{f_1^*}\right) + \left(\lambda^* f_{-1}^* + f_{-1}^{*\prime}\right) f_1^* X_1^{(2k+1)} + \frac{f_1^*}{f_{-1}^*}\widetilde{X}_1^{(2k)}$$

and $X_1^{(-1)} = 0$. *The formal powers* $\widetilde{X}^{(k)}$ *and* $X^{(k)}$ *are calculated again by (7) and (8) but now with* $f = f^*$.

In Table 1 we present the exact eigenvalues obtained by `FindRoot`, *an absolute error of the numerically computed eigenvalues by means of the SPPS method and the result from [21] where the sinc method is used to calculate the square roots of the eigenvalues.*

Table 1. *The exact eigenvalues from example 9 and their absolute error obtained with $N = 60$, $M = 50000$*

n	*Exact* λ_n	*SPPS abs. error*	*sinc abs. error [21]*
0	-0.8838501773806790	7.4027×10^{-15}	
1	0.33593977069858758	5.7176×10^{-15}	$7.337\,3 \times 10^{-13}$
2	3.18616750501251774	1.1941×10^{-13}	$4.306\,1 \times 10^{-12}$
3	10.4888366560518901	1.5446×10^{-13}	$7.819\,4 \times 10^{-12}$
4	22.7582649977549487	5.6014×10^{-13}	$6.103\,9 \times 10^{-11}$
5	40.0145357092335736	4.8143×10^{-13}	$1.296\,3 \times 10^{-10}$
6	62.2048900366600122	1.4728×10^{-12}	
7	89.3430782636483577	1.3721×10^{-12}	
8	121.412971555997595	1.6146×10^{-12}	
9	158.423147639717927	2.1134×10^{-12}	
10	200.365776764230126	1.8909×10^{-12}	
20	891.233220344783089	6.1716×10^{-12}	
30	2075.58493709348608	8.6609×10^{-12}	
50	5924.73025618735463	1.5332×10^{-11}	
75	13511.9885376287504	1.6978×10^{-11}	
100	24183.4982363150305	3.3070×10^{-10}	

Notice that in [21] the negative eigenvalue was not detected .

Example 10 *As a second example we consider the eigenvalue problem that arises [12] in the study of heat conduction in layered composites*

$$-(pu')' = \lambda r u, \quad x \in [a_1, a_4]$$

where

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} p_1, & x \in [a_1, a_2) \\ p_2, & x \in [a_2, a_3) \\ p_3 & x \in [a_3, a_4] \end{cases}, \quad r(x) = \begin{cases} r_1, & x \in [a_1, a_2) \\ r_2, & x \in [a_2, a_3) \\ r_3 & x \in [a_3, a_4] \end{cases}$$

with p_i, r_i being nonzero complex-valued constants, the boundary and the transmission conditions are

$$u(a_1) = u(a_4) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(a_{2_-}) &= u(a_{2_+}), \quad p_1 u'(a_{2_-}) = p_2 u'(a_{2_+}), \\ u(a_{3_-}) &= u(a_{3_+}), \quad p_2 u'(a_{3_-}) = p_3 u'(a_{3_+}). \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that the eigenfunction of this Sturm-Liouville problem for $\lambda \neq 0$ *can be taken in the form*

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \sin\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_1}{p_1}}\,(x-a_1)\,, & x \in [a_1, a_2] \\ v(x), & x \in [a_2, a_3] \\ \frac{v(a_3)\sin\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_3}{p_3}}(x-a4)}{\sin\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_3}{p_3}}(a_3-a_4)}, & x \in [a_3, a_4] \end{cases},$$

where $v(x) = \sin\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_1}{p_1}}\,(a_2-a_1)\cos\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_2}{p_2}}\,(x-a_2) + \sqrt{\frac{p_1 r_1}{p_2 r_2}}\cos\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_1}{p_1}}\,(a_2-a_1)\sin\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_2}{p_2}}\,(x-a_2)$, *for values of* λ *satisfying the following characteristic equation which is a result of the transmission condition* $p_2 u'(a_{3_-}) = p_3 u'(a_{3_+})$

$$\Phi(\lambda) = p_2 v'(a_3) - p_3 \frac{v(a_3)\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_3}{p_3}}\cos\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_3}{p_3}}(a_4-a_3)}{\sin\sqrt{\frac{\lambda r_3}{p_3}}(a_3-a_4)} = 0$$

In terms of the SPPS solutions (15) the eigenfunctions of this spectral problem are

$$u(x,\lambda) = u_2(x,\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k\, X^{(2k+1)}(x),$$

where λ *satisfies the characteristic equation*

$$\Phi(\lambda) = u_2(a_4,\lambda) = 0.$$

In this example, we calculate the eigenvalues for the cases of both real and complex coefficients. The results for the case of real coefficients is presented in Table 2 which contain the exact eigenvalues obtained by `FindRoot` *and an absolute error of the numerically computed eigenvalues by means of the SPPS method. For the calculations the following values of parameters were used* $a_1 = -4;\ a_2 = -2;\ a_3 = 0;\ a_4 = 2;\ p_1 = 11;\ p_2 = 0.5;\ p_3 = 22;\ r_1 = 3;\ r_2 = 7;\ r_3 = 1$

Table 2. *The exact eigenvalues from example 10 and their absolute error obtained with* $N = 90$, $M = 149998$

n	*Exact* λ_n	*SPPS abs. error*
0	0.1537166881459068	8.2712×10^{-15}
1	0.6040510821002027	3.2350×10^{-14}
2	1.3001446415922297	5.3654×10^{-14}
3	2.1131346987303714	8.5513×10^{-14}
4	3.0657222557870770	4.3681×10^{-14}
5	4.3891432656424323	1.0272×10^{-13}
6	6.0755689904595746	9.1154×10^{-14}
7	8.0532227313898138	3.1959×10^{-13}
8	10.263818816222202	1.6454×10^{-13}
9	12.662474776451201	2.3047×10^{-13}
10	15.226226392993377	4.5646×10^{-13}
20	54.709035491349110	3.5327×10^{-10}
30	117.46422540410899	7.7278×10^{-10}
50	320.94806622153616	2.3762×10^{-7}
75	706.76377022340156	2.8990×10^{-7}
100	1249.2350537091337	1.7486×10^{-4}

For the second case of complex coefficients we take the same interval as in the previous case and the following complex values of parameters $p_1 = 11+1i$; $p_2 = 0.5+2i$; $p_3 = 22+1i$; $r_1 = 3+2i$; $r_2 = 7+1i$; $r_3 = 1-2i$. *The numerical result presented in Table 3 is obtained using the spectral shift with* $\lambda_n^* = \lambda_{n-1} + 0.5$ *if* $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{n-1}) > 0$. *If* $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{n-1}) < 0$, *we do not make a shift, i.e.,* $\lambda_n^* = \lambda_{n-2} + 0.5$. *The computation time for each obtained eigenvalue was around 20 sec.*

Table 3. *The exact eigenvalues from example 10 and their absolute error obtained with* $N = 90$, $M = 120001$

n	*Exact* λ_n	*SPPS abs. error*
0	$0.469982057297078 + 0.337010475999479i$	9.7688×10^{-14}
1	$1.453180135224583 + 0.455435050626238i$	1.6122×10^{-13}
2	$1.931066258100073 + 1.957548941283227i$	1.4746×10^{-13}
3	$2.769261458131468 + 4.456326784352162i$	4.6264×10^{-14}
4	$3.315488435122103 + 8.156636278096363i$	2.0441×10^{-13}
5	$4.745130735885916 + 11.83858259923195i$	2.2416×10^{-13}
6	$14.63113794579346 - 3.537417383243752i$	1.4060×10^{-12}
7	$7.897123133993671 + 17.11862172171793i$	1.8218×10^{-13}
8	$11.68836415028633 + 23.94806911602541i$	4.1506×10^{-13}
9	$16.17740169627085 + 31.68384253976561i$	1.0887×10^{-12}
10	$41.18479452885688 - 14.80403116625259i$	1.6835×10^{-11}
14	$28.58271526370320 + 69.03918582895608i$	9.0925×10^{-12}
15	$81.02445701222293 - 33.28304821488122i$	2.3535×10^{-9}
16	$35.59337686888703 + 81.52159363573743i$	2.0417×10^{-12}
21	$61.04946135834132 + 140.2136938161330i$	5.1677×10^{-8}
22	$64.21517057997782 + 157.3867636446106i$	1.0209×10^{-6}
25	$200.5617997525851 - 91.87829196068057i$	3.1432×10^{-3}
26	$94.66606874013544 + 215.4400279751237i$	1.3002×10^{-6}

Let us note that the SPPS approach allows one to visualize the characteristic function of the problem. In Fig. 1 we plot the SPPS approximation of the function $-\ln|\Phi_{90}(\lambda)|$ *on the disk* $|\lambda| \leqslant 45$ *in the complex plane of the variable* λ*. The peaks on the graph correspond to the first eleven approximate eigenvalues of the problem.*

Example 11 *Consider the eigenvalue problem*

$$\begin{aligned} -u'' + qu &= \lambda u, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \\ u(-1) + \lambda u'(-1) &= 0, \quad u(1) + \lambda u'(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} -2, & x \in [-1, 0], \\ x, & x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

This example is from [21] where unfortunately the results are given with a misprint. Due to this we are not able to compare our numerical results to those presented in [21].

Figure 1: The graph of $-\log|\Phi_{90}(\lambda)|$ in the disk $|\lambda| \leqslant 45$. The peaks represent the first eleven approximate eigenvalues presented in Table 3.

It is easy to see that the general solution of the equation (19) is

$$u(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} (C_1 Ai(-\lambda) + C_2 Bi(-\lambda)) \cos\sqrt{2+\lambda}x + \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\lambda}} (C_1 Ai'(-\lambda) + C_2 Bi'(-\lambda)) \sin\sqrt{2+\lambda}x, & x \in [-1,0], \\ C_1 Ai(x-\lambda) + C_2 Bi(x-\lambda), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases}$$

where Ai and Bi are the Airy functions. The exact characteristic equation for this problem takes the form

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \left((Ai(-\lambda) + \lambda Ai'(-\lambda)) \cos\sqrt{2+\lambda} + \left(\lambda\sqrt{2+\lambda} Ai(-\lambda) - \frac{Ai'(-\lambda)}{\sqrt{2+\lambda}} \right) \sin\sqrt{2+\lambda} \right)$$
$$(Bi(1-\lambda) + \lambda Bi'(1-\lambda)) -$$
$$\left((Bi(-\lambda) + \lambda Bi'(-\lambda)) \cos\sqrt{2+\lambda} + \left(\lambda\sqrt{2+\lambda} Bi(-\lambda) - \frac{Bi'(-\lambda)}{\sqrt{2+\lambda}} \right) \sin\sqrt{2+\lambda} \right)$$
$$(Ai(1-\lambda) + \lambda Ai'(1-\lambda)) = 0$$

The characteristic equation in terms of the SPPS method is

$$\Phi(\lambda) = (f(-1) + \lambda f'(-1)) (u_2(1) + \lambda u_2'(1)) - \frac{\lambda}{p(-1)f(-1)} (u_1(1) + \lambda u_1'(1)) = 0,$$

where f is a nonvanishing particular solution of equation (19) for $\lambda = 0$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \cos\sqrt{2}x, & x \in [-1,0], \\ \frac{Bi'(0)}{W(0)} Ai(x) - \frac{Ai'(0)}{W(0)} Bi(x), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases}$$

$W(0)$ denotes the Wronskian of the functions Ai and Bi evaluated in zero.

Thus, $\Phi(\lambda)$ can be written as power series in terms of λ

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k C_k, \text{ where}$$

$$C_k = f_{-1}\left(f_1\, X_1^{(2k+1)} + f_1'\, X_1^{(2k-1)} + \frac{X_1^{(2k-2)}}{pf_1}\right) +$$

$$f_{-1}'\left(f_1\, X_1^{(2k-1)} + f_1'\, X_1^{(2k-3)} + \frac{X_1^{(2k-4)}}{pf_1}\right) + \frac{1}{f_{-1}}\left(f_1 \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-2)} + f_1'\, \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-4)} + \frac{\widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-5)}}{pf_1}\right)$$

To perform the shift by λ^* we write the characteristic function in terms of $(\lambda - \lambda^*)$ and denote it as $\Phi^*(\lambda - \lambda^*)$

$$\Phi^*(\lambda - \lambda^*) = \Phi(\lambda - \lambda^*) + \lambda^* \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda^*)^k B_k,$$

where $B_k = \left(f_{-1}^* + \lambda^* f_{-1}^{*\prime}\right)\left(f_1^{*\prime} X_1^{(2k+1)} - \frac{X_1^{(2k)}}{f_1^*}\right) + f_{-1}^{*\prime}\left(f_1^* X_1^{(2k+1)} + 2f_1^{*\prime} X_1^{(2k-1)} - \frac{2X_1^{(2k-2)}}{f_1^*}\right) +$

$\frac{1}{f_{-1}^*}\left(f_1^* \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k)} + 2f_1^{*\prime} \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-2)} - \frac{2\widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-3)}}{f_1^*} + \lambda^*\left(f_1^{*\prime} \widetilde{X}_1^{(2k)} - \frac{\widetilde{X}_1^{(2k-1)}}{f_1^*}\right)\right)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ *and*

again $\widetilde{X}_1^{(\alpha)}$ and $X_1^{(\alpha)}$ equal zero for $\alpha < 0$ and the formal powers $\widetilde{X}^{(k)}$ and $X^{(k)}$ are calculated by (7) and (8) where $f = f^*$.

Table 4. *The exact eigenvalues from example 11 and their absolute error obtained with $N = 95$, $M = 55000$*

n	*Exact* λ_n	*SPPS abs. error*
0	-1.00143294415521698407	5.5511×10^{-15}
1	2.4057972392439196797808	3.3793×10^{-13}
2	9.1124600099908036194275	8.6482×10^{-12}
3	$21.5196317985767240327 30$	1.5888×10^{-11}
4	$38.7235309416272800943 88$	8.7765×10^{-11}
5	$60.9564343488917554643 46$	1.6287×10^{-10}
6	$88.0740681375411194048 25$	3.3656×10^{-10}
7	$120.163395507342799074 86$	4.9152×10^{-10}
8	$157.162299013499840501 53$	8.4092×10^{-10}
9	$199.115942316034563213 12$	9.8560×10^{-10}
10	$245.989221431709742487 78$	1.9757×10^{-9}
20	$986.210214374941019974 32$	3.6385×10^{-8}
30	$2219.91088962640721743 80$	2.6014×10^{-7}
50	$6167.75271440431980139 92$	4.2623×10^{-4}

Example 12 *This example is taken from the list of test problems presented in [22]. Consider the eigenvalue problem*

$$\begin{aligned} -u'' + u &= \lambda r u, \quad x \in [0,1] \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ 1, & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \end{cases} .$$

The exact characteristic equation for this problem is

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \tan \frac{\sqrt{\lambda - 1}}{2} + \sqrt{\lambda - 1} \tanh \frac{1}{2} = 0,$$

whereas the SPPS characteristic equation has the form

$$\Phi(\lambda) = u_2(1, \lambda) = 0.$$

The numerical result is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. *The exact eigenvalues from example 12 and their absolute error obtained with $N = 40$, $M = 300000$*

n	*Exact* λ_n	*SPPS abs. error*
0	17.89793137541756	1.5632×10^{-13}
1	98.16027543604447	1.9401×10^{-11}
2	256.2710801437674	2.7910×10^{-11}
3	493.2013196148296	8.4422×10^{-11}
4	809.0540168683802	1.6823×10^{-10}
5	1203.851208314645	1.5561×10^{-10}
6	1677.599761311537	7.0518×10^{-10}
7	2230.302360623276	1.0313×10^{-9}
8	2861.960227826747	3.1004×10^{-10}
9	3572.573982714352	2.6569×10^{-9}
10	4362.143966674130	2.3226×10^{-9}
11	5230.670380220453	1.4316×10^{-6}
12	6178.153347366686	5.9094×10^{-4}
13	7204.592948137174	5.2852×10^{-4}
14	8309.989236037242	2.4735×10^{-3}

Despite a large number of points used in this example for integrations, the computational time for each obtained eigenvalue was around 20 sec.

References

[1] Apostol T M, Mathematical analysis. Addison-Wesley, 1974.

[2] Barrera-Figueroa V, Blancarte H, Kravchenko V V, The phase retrieval problem: a spectral parameter power series approach. Journal of Engineering Mathematics, Published Online.

[3] Barrera-Figueroa V, Kravchenko V V, Rabinovich V S, Spectral parameter power series analysis of isotropic planarly layered waveguides. To appear in Applicable Analysis.

[4] Benedetto J J, Czaja W, Integration and Modern Analysis. Boston: Birkhäuser, Advanced Texts, 2009.

[5] Brezis H, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Springer, 2011.

[6] Campos H M, Kravchenko V V, Torba S M, Transmutations, L-bases and complete families of solutions of the stationary Schrödinger equation in the plane. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, v.389, issue 2, 1222-1238, 2012.

[7] Castillo Pérez R, Khmelnytskaya K V, Kravchenko V V, Oviedo H, Galdeano Efficient calculation of the reflectance and transmittance of finite inhomogeneous layers. Journal of Optics A: Pure and Applied Optics, v. 11, issue 6, 2009.

[8] Castillo R, Kravchenko V V, Oviedo H, Rabinovich S V, Dispersion equation and eigenvalues for quantum wells using spectral parameter power series. Journal of Mathematical Physics, v. 52, issue 4, 2011.

[9] Castillo-Pérez R, Kravchenko V V, Torba S M, Spectral parameter power series for perturbed Bessel equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2013, v. 220, issue 1, 676-694, 2013.

[10] Khmelnytskaya K V, Kravchenko V V, Baldenebro-Obeso J A, Spectral parameter power series for fourth-order Sturm-Liouville problems. Applied Mathematics and Computation, v. 219, issue 8, 3610–3624, 2012.

[11] Khmelnytskaya K V, Kravchenko V V, Rosu H C, Eigenvalue problems, spectral parameter power series, and modern applications. Submitted, available at arxiv.org.

[12] Khmelnytskaya K V, Serroukh I, The heat transfer problem for inhomogeneous materials in photoacoustic applications and spectral parameter power series. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., v. 36, issue 14, 1878–1891, 2013.

[13] Kolmogorov A N , Fomin S V, Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis, 1999.

[14] Kravchenko V V, A representation for solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, v. 53, No. 8, 775-789, 2008.

[15] Kravchenko V V, Porter R M, Spectral parameter power series for Sturm-Liouville problems. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, v. 33, 459-468, 2010.

[16] Kravchenko V V, Torba S M, Velasco-García U, Spectral parameter power series for polynomial pencils of Sturm-Liouville operators and Zakharov-Shabat systems. Submitted, available at arXiv:1401.1520.

[17] Kravchenko V V, Velasco-García U, Dispersion equation and eigenvalues for the Zakharov-Shabat system using spectral parameter power series. Journal of Mathematical Physics, , v. 52, issue 6, 2011.

[18] Matkowski J, Lipschitzian composition operators in some function spaces. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, v. 30, issue 2, 719-726, 1997.

[19] Natanson I, Theory of Functions of a Real Variable. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1964.

[20] Zettl A, Sturm-Liouville Theory. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs V.121, American Mathematical Society, 2005.

[21] Tharwat M M, Bhrawy A H, Yildirim Ahmet, Numerical computation of eigenvalues of discontinuous Stirm-Liouville problems with parameter dependent boundary conditions using sinc method. Numerical Algorithms, Springer, v. 63, 27-48, 2013.

[22] Pryce J D Numerical Solution of Sturm-Liouville Problems. Monographs on Numerical Analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1993.